

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,909	Applicant(s) KASIBHATLA ET AL.	
	Examiner BRADLEY DUFFY	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:

(1) Brad Duffy.

(2) Stephanie Elmer.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
na

Claims discussed:
all pending

Prior art documents discussed:
na

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's Amendment that was determined to place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached with respect to the proposed amendments. Applicant's representative, Stephanie Elmer authorized entry of the proposed Examiner's Amendment on June 30, 2009.